A perturbative no-hair of form fields for higher dimensional static black holes

Tetsuya Shiromizu and Seiju Ohashi Department of Physics, Kyoto University, Kyoto 606-8502, Japan

Kentaro Tanabe

Yukawa Institute for Theoretical Physics, Kyoto University, Kyoto 606-8502, Japan

In this paper we examine the static perturbation of p-form field strengths around higher dimensional Schwarzschild spacetimes. As a result, we can see that the static perturbations do not exist when $p \geq 3$. This result supports the no-hair properties of p-form fields. However, this does not exclude the presence of the black objects having non-spherical topology.

PACS numbers:

I. INTRODUCTION

Motivated by the recent progress of superstring theory, higher dimensional black holes has been actively studied so far [1]. Different from the four dimensional cases, the conventional uniqueness theorem does not holds in stationary higher dimensional black holes. Indeed, there are several different black hole/ring spacetimes with same mass and angular momentum [2, 3]. See Ref. [4] for a new approach "blackfolds". But, if one considers static (electro) vacuum cases, the uniqueness theorem holds [5, 6] (See also Refs. [7, 8]) and then the spacetimes is the Schwarzschild-Tangherlini solution [9] (The higher dimensional Reissner-Nordström solution in electrovacuum cases). However, there are open questions even in static cases. If one puts other matter fields, it becomes difficult to show the uniqueness in general (See also Refs. [10, 11]). For example, one might be interested in the higher form fields(say, p-form field strengths). According to the recent work [12], one can show the no-hair theorem for the cases with $(n+1)/2 \le p \le (n-1)$ in n-dimensional asymptotically flat spacetimes. Note that the Maxwell field(p=2) is out of the condition on p and consistent with the presence of the Maxwell hair of charged black holes. However, there is a mystery about the presence of the hairs for 2 . We should also note thatthe cases with $p \geq 3$ cannot have the conserved charge associated with $H_{(p)}$. Therefore, we intuitively guess that the monopole component of $H_{(p)}$ does not exist. In stationary cases, there is the exact solution with dipole hair

In this paper, using the perturbation analysis, we will consider the possibility of the back hole spacetime with non-trivial p-form field strength hair. Since the background spacetimes are vacuum one, the p-form field perturbations are decoupled with the metric perturbations. So this set-up makes the analysis much easier than the cases of the perturbation analysis of "charged" black holes. The analysis will show us that the static perturbations of p-form field strength around the Schwarzschild-Tangherlini spacetime does not exist [23]. See the study on stationary metric perturbation for the Schwarzschild-Tangherlini spacetimes [15]. Our result suggests that the

deformed black holes with spherical topology do not exist. But, this does not exclude the presence of the black hole solution with non-spherical topology. As the discussion in the appendix, if the solution exists, it seems to have both of the electric and magnetic hair of p-form field strengths simultaneously.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II, we describe the model, boundary conditions and hyperspherical harmonic functions(harmonics for the brevity). In Sec. III, we analyse the Maxwell fields from the pedagogical point of view. Then, in Sec. IV, we will discuss the static perturbation of general form field and show that there are no regular solutions. In Sec. V, we also have a little consideration of no-hair in asymptotically (anti)deSitter spacetimes. Finally we will summarise our work and discuss future issues in Sec. VI. In the appendix, we try to show the no-hair theorem in the cases with both of electric and magnetic parts of p-form field strength. But, we fail to do it.

II. FIELD EQUATIONS, BOUNDARY CONDITIONS AND HARMONICS

A. Model

We consider the system described by the Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L} = R - \frac{1}{p!} H_{(p)}^2, \tag{1}$$

where R is the n-dimensional Ricci scalar and $H_{(p)}$ is the p-form field strength. $H_{(p)}$ has the (p-1)-form field potential as

$$H_{(p)} = dB_{(p-1)}.$$
 (2)

The field equations are

$$R_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{p!} \left(p H_{\mu}^{\ \rho_1 \cdots \rho_{p-1}} H_{\nu\rho_1 \cdots \rho_{p-1}} - \frac{p-1}{n-2} g_{\mu\nu} H_{(p)}^2 \right)$$
(3)

and

$$\nabla_{\mu} H^{\mu}_{\ \nu_1 \nu_2 \cdots \nu_{\nu-1}} = 0, \tag{4}$$

where ∇_{μ} is the covariant derivative with respect to $g_{\mu\nu}$. As in Ref. [12], we can include the dilation field too. However, the effect from the dilaton does not affect our result. Then, for simplicity, we will not include the dilaton fields in this study.

B. Boundary conditions

Let us consider the boundary conditions. In general, the metric of static spacetimes can be written as

$$ds^{2} = g_{\mu\nu}dx^{\mu}dx^{\nu} = -V^{2}(x^{i})dt^{2} + g_{ij}(x^{k})dx^{i}dx^{j}, \quad (5)$$

where x^i are spatial coordinate and t is time coordinate. Since we mainly focus on asymptotically flat spacetimes, we suppose that the asymptotic boundary conditions are given by

$$V = 1 - \frac{m}{r^{n-3}} + O(1/r^{n-2})$$

$$g_{ij} = \left(1 + \frac{2}{n-3} \frac{m}{r^{n-3}}\right) \delta_{ij} + O(1/r^{n-2}), \quad (6)$$

where m is the ADM mass. We will not use the aboves directly. From the asymptotic flatness, $H_{(p)}$ should decay at the infinity. Although we mainly discuss the asymptotically flat cases, we will address the no-hair of $H_{(p)}$ in asymptotically (anti)deSitter spacetimes shortly.

The boundary condition on the event horizon V=0 comes from the regularity. To see this, we compute the Kretschmann invariant

$$R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}R^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} = 4R_{i0j0}R^{i0j0} + R_{ijkl}R^{ijkl}$$

$$= \frac{4}{V^2}D_iD_jVD^iD^jV + R_{ijkl}R^{ijkl}$$

$$= \frac{4}{V^2}\left[\frac{1}{\rho^2}k_{ij}k^{ij} + \frac{1}{\rho^4}(n^i\partial_i\rho)^2 + \frac{2}{\rho^4}(\mathcal{D}\rho)^2\right]$$

$$+R_{ijkl}R^{ijkl}$$

$$= \frac{4}{V^2}\left[\frac{1}{\rho^2}k_{ij}k^{ij} + \frac{1}{\rho^2}(k - \rho D^2V)^2\right]$$

$$+\frac{2}{\rho^4}(\mathcal{D}\rho)^2 + R_{ijkl}R^{ijkl}, \qquad (7)$$

where we used $R_{i0j0} = VD_iD_jV$ in the second line and D_i is the covariant derivative with respect to g_{ij} . In the third line $k_{ij} = h_i^k D_k n_j$ with $n_i = \rho D_i V$. For the last line, we may be going to use the Einstein equation

$$R_{00} = VD^{2}V$$

$$= \frac{n-p-1}{(n-2)(p-1)!}H_{0}^{i_{1}\cdots i_{p-1}}H_{0i_{1}\cdots i_{p-1}}$$

$$+ \frac{p-1}{(n-2)p!}V^{2}H_{i_{1}\cdots i_{p}}H^{i_{1}\cdots i_{p}}.$$
(8)

 \mathcal{D}_i is the covariant derivative with respect to the induced metric $h_{ij} = g_{ij} - n_i n_j$.

Thus, from Eqs. (7) and (8), the regularity implies

$$k_{ij}|_{V=0} = \mathcal{D}\rho|_{V=0} = 0$$
 (9)

$$H_0^{i_1 \cdots i_{p-1}} H_{0i_1 \cdots i_{p-1}}|_{V=0} = O(V^2).$$
 (10)

and

$$H^{i_1 \cdots i_p} H_{i_1 \cdots i_n}|_{V=0} = O(1). \tag{11}$$

In this paper we focus on the static perturbation around vacuum and spherical symmetric solutions. That is, the background p-form field does not exist. The background metric is given by

$$ds_0^2 = -f(r)dt^2 + f(r)^{-1}dr^2 + r^2d\Omega_{n-2}^2,$$
 (12)

where $f(r) = 1 - (r_0/r)^{n-3}$ and $d\Omega_{n-2}^2 =: \sigma_{AB} dx^A dx^B$ is the metric of the (n-2)-dimensional unit sphere. In this specific form, the static perturbation should satisfy

$$H_{0rA_1\cdots A_{p-2}}|_{V=0} = O(1) \tag{13}$$

$$H_{0A_1\cdots A_{p-1}}|_{V=0} = O(V) = O(\sqrt{f})$$
 (14)

$$H_{rA_1\cdots A_{n-1}}|_{V=0} = O(V^{-1}) = O(1/\sqrt{f})$$
 (15)

$$H_{A_1 \dots A_n}|_{V=0} = O(1).$$
 (16)

C. Hyperspherical harmonic functions

Since the background spacetimes has spherical symmetry, we can decompose all quantities in terms of hyperspherical harmonics defined on the sphere S^{n-2} [16–18]. In general, there are three type of harmonics, that is, scalar, vector and tensor types. The scalar harmonic function Y follows

$$\mathcal{D}^2 Y = -\ell(\ell + n - 3)Y. \tag{17}$$

The vector harmonic function V_A satisfies

$$\mathcal{D}^A V_A = 0 \tag{18}$$

$$\mathcal{D}^2 V_A = -[\ell(\ell + n - 3) - 1] V_A. \tag{19}$$

Since quantities which we will consider are often asymmetric tensor, we consider the totally anti-symmetric tensor harmonic function only

$$\mathcal{D}^{A_1} T_{A_1 \cdots A_n} = 0 \tag{20}$$

$$\mathcal{D}^2 T_{A_1 \cdots A_q} = -[\ell(\ell + n - 3) - q] T_{A_1 \cdots A_q}. \quad (21)$$

Note that the static perturbation of metric and p-form fields are decoupled each others. This is due to the non-presence of the background field of p-form fields. Since we know that the possible static perturbation of the metric are $\ell=0,1$ modes. So if the mass is fixed, $\ell=0$ static modes vanishes. The $\ell=1$ modes can be absorbed to the redefinition of the coordinate, that is, it corresponds to the choice of the "center" of the coordinate. Therefore, we will not consider the static perturbation of the metric.

III. MAXWELL FIELDS

As a pedagogical exercise, we will first consider the Maxwell fields. As already known, the uniqueness theorem of charged black hole (the higher dimensional Reissner-Nordström solution) holds in this system. Therefore, the static *monopole* perturbation is only permitted. We will confirm this fact in this section. See Refs. [19, 20] for the perturbation analysis of Reissner-Nordström spacetimes.

The each components of the Maxwell equation become

$$\partial_r F_{rt} + \frac{n-2}{r} F_{rt} + \frac{1}{r^2 f} \mathcal{D}^A F_{At} = 0$$
 (22)

$$\mathcal{D}^A F_{Ar} = 0 \tag{23}$$

and

$$\partial_r F_A^r + \frac{n-4}{r} F_A^r + \frac{1}{r^2} \mathcal{D}^B F_{BA} = 0.$$
 (24)

A. Gauge conditions

We employ the following gauge

$$A_r = \mathcal{D}^A A_A = 0. (25)$$

This can been achieved by following standard argument. There is the gauge freedom of $A_{\mu} \rightarrow \tilde{A}_{\mu} = A_{\mu} + \partial_{\mu} \chi$ Then if we choose χ as

$$\chi = -\int dr A_r(r, x^A) + \eta(x^A) \tag{26}$$

we can set

$$A_r = 0. (27)$$

Eq. (23) implies

$$\mathcal{D}^2 A_r - \partial_r (\mathcal{D}^A A_A) = 0, \tag{28}$$

and then

$$\partial_r(\mathcal{D}^A A_A) = 0 \tag{29}$$

that is, $\mathcal{D}^A A_A$ does not depends on the coordinate of r. Using the remaining gauge freedom of $A_A \to \tilde{A}_A = A_A + \partial_A \eta(x^B)$ satisfying

$$\mathcal{D}^2 \eta = -\mathcal{D}^A A_A,\tag{30}$$

we can set

$$\mathcal{D}^A A_A = 0. (31)$$

B. Solutions

Under the gauge condition of Eq. (25), the Maxwell equation becomes

$$\partial_r^2 A_t + \frac{n-2}{r} \partial_r A_t + \frac{1}{r^2 f} \mathcal{D}^2 A_t = 0$$
 (32)

and

$$\partial_r^2 A_A + \left(\frac{n-4}{r} + \frac{f'}{f}\right) \partial_r A_A + \frac{\mathcal{D}^2 - (n-3)}{r^2 f} A_A = 0.$$
 (33)

Here we expand A_t, A_A in terms of harmonics as

$$A_t = G(r)Y, \quad A_A = H(r)V_A. \tag{34}$$

Let us first solve the equation for A_t . Introducing the new variable x defined by

$$x := \left(\frac{r_0}{r}\right)^{n-3},\tag{35}$$

the solution can be written in the analytic form of

$$G(r) = Br^{-(n+\ell-3)}F(\alpha, \beta, \gamma; x) + Cr^{\ell}F(\alpha', \beta', \gamma'; x),$$
(36)

where $F(\alpha, \beta, \gamma; x)$ is the hypergeometric function, and

$$\alpha = \frac{\ell}{n-3} \tag{37}$$

$$\beta = \frac{n+\ell-3}{n-3} \tag{38}$$

$$\gamma = \frac{2(n+\ell-3)}{n-3} = \alpha + \beta + 1 \tag{39}$$

and

$$\alpha' = -\frac{\ell}{n-3} \tag{40}$$

$$\beta' = -\frac{n+\ell-3}{n-3} \tag{41}$$

$$\gamma' = -\frac{2\ell}{n-3} = \alpha' + \beta' + 1. \tag{42}$$

From the asymptotic flatness, we must set ${\cal C}=0$ and the solution becomes

$$A_t = Br^{-(n+\ell-3)}F(\alpha, \beta, \gamma; x)Y. \tag{43}$$

Now we compute the field strength

$$F_{r0} = -(n+\ell-3)r^{-(n+\ell-2)}F(\alpha,\beta,\gamma;x)Y$$

$$-(n-3)r^{-(n+\ell-3)}\frac{x}{r}\frac{d}{dx}F(\alpha,\beta,\gamma;x)Y$$

$$= -(n+\ell-3)r^{-(n+\ell-2)}F(\alpha,\beta,\gamma;x)Y$$

$$-(n-3)\frac{\alpha\beta}{\gamma}r^{-(n+\ell-3)}\frac{x}{r} \times$$

$$\frac{d}{dx}F(\alpha+1,\beta+1,\gamma+1;x)Y$$
(44)

Let us examine the behavior on the horizon. Since

$$F(\alpha+1,\beta+1,\gamma+1;1) = \frac{\Gamma(\beta+1)\Gamma(\gamma-\alpha-\beta-1)}{\Gamma(\gamma-\alpha)\Gamma(\gamma-\beta)}$$
(45)

and $\Gamma(\gamma-\alpha-\beta-1)=\Gamma(0)$, it diverges except for $\ell\neq 0$. This means that the second term in the right-hand side of Eq. (45) diverges. The case of $\ell=0$ is special. In this case, α vanishes and then the second term disappears and the solution will be regular everywhere outside of the black holes. Thus, the monopole component $(\ell=0)$ is only permitted. Of course, this is the case of the Reissner-Nordström solution.

Next we solve the equation for A_A and then we have the analytic solution as

$$H(r) = Br^{-(\ell+n-4)}F(\alpha,\beta,\gamma;x) + Cr^{\ell+1}F(\alpha',\beta',\gamma';x),$$
(46)

where

$$\alpha = \frac{\ell + n - 4}{n - 3} \tag{47}$$

$$\beta = \frac{\ell + n - 2}{n - 3} \tag{48}$$

$$\gamma = 2\frac{\ell + n - 3}{n - 3} = \alpha + \beta \tag{49}$$

and

$$\alpha' = -\frac{\ell+1}{n-3} \tag{50}$$

$$\beta' = -\frac{\ell - 1}{n - 3} \tag{51}$$

$$\gamma' = -\frac{2\ell}{n-3}.\tag{52}$$

From the asymptotic flatness, we must set ${\cal C}=0$ and the solution becomes

$$A_A = Br^{-(\ell+n-4)}F(\alpha, \beta, \gamma; x)V_A. \tag{53}$$

Since $\gamma = \alpha + \beta$, on the event horizon,

$$F(\alpha, \beta, \gamma; 1) = \frac{\Gamma(\gamma)\Gamma(0)}{\Gamma(\gamma - \alpha)\Gamma(\gamma - \beta)}$$
 (54)

diverges. Therefore there is no regular solution.

As a conclusion, the regular solution is $\ell = 0$ mode only of A_t which corresponds to the Reissner-Nordström solution. This is well-known fact.

IV. HIGHER FORM FIELDS

In this section we examine the static perturbation of $H_{(p)}$ fields with $p \geq 3$. The field equations are

$$\mathcal{D}^A H_{AtrA_1 A_2 \cdots A_{n-3}} = 0 \tag{55}$$

$$\partial_r H_{rtA_1 A_2 \cdots A_{p-2}} + \frac{n - 2(p-1)}{r} H_{rtA_1 A_2 \cdots A_{p-2}} + \frac{1}{r^2 f} \mathcal{D}^B H_{BtA_1 \cdots A_{p-2}} = 0$$
 (56)

$$\mathcal{D}^A H_{ArA_1 \cdots A_{p-2}} = 0. \tag{57}$$

and

$$\partial_r H_{rA_1 \cdots A_{p-1}} + \left(\frac{n-2p}{r} + \frac{f'}{f}\right) H_{rA_1 \cdots A_{p-1}} + \frac{1}{r^2 f} \mathcal{D}^B H_{BA_1 \cdots A_{p-1}} = 0.$$
 (58)

A. Gauge conditions

Using the gauge freedom of $B_{\mu_1 \cdots \mu_{p-1}} \to \tilde{B}_{\mu_1 \cdots \mu_{p-1}} = B_{\mu_1 \cdots \mu_{p-1}} + \partial_{[\mu_1} C_{\mu_2 \cdots \mu_{p-1}]}$, we can show that one can choose the following gauge condition

$$\mathcal{D}^A B_{tAA_1 \cdots A_{n-3}} = 0 \tag{59}$$

$$\mathcal{D}^A B_{rAA_1 \cdots A_{p-3}} = 0 \tag{60}$$

$$\mathcal{D}^B B_{BA_1 \cdots A_{n-2}} = 0. {(61)}$$

With Eq. (59), the field equation shows

$$B_{trA_1\cdots A_{p-3}} = 0. (62)$$

The detail can been seen by the following argument. The gauge transformation gives us

$$\mathcal{D}^{A}\tilde{B}_{tAA_{1}\cdots A_{p-3}}$$

$$= \mathcal{D}^{A}B_{tAA_{1}\cdots A_{p-3}}$$

$$- [\mathcal{D}^{2} - (p-3)(n-p+1)]C_{tA_{1}\cdots A_{p-3}}, (63)$$

where we already imposed $\mathcal{D}^{A_1}C_{tA_1\cdots A_{p-3}}=0$. Then we take $C_{tA_1\cdots A_{p-3}}$ satisfying

$$[\mathcal{D}^2 - (p-3)(n-p+1)]C_{tA_1\cdots A_{p-3}} = \mathcal{D}^A \tilde{B}_{tAA_1\cdots A_{p-3}}$$
(64)

Note that there exists the solutions for $C_{tA_1\cdots A_{p-3}}$. Then this implies

$$\mathcal{D}^A B_{tAA_1 \cdots A_{n-3}} = 0 \tag{65}$$

In this case, Eq. (55) becomes

$$[\mathcal{D}^2 - (p-3)(n-p-1)]B_{trA_1\cdots A_{n-3}} = 0.$$
 (66)

In terms of harmonics, $B_{trA_1\cdots A_{p-3}}$ will be expanded as

$$B_{trA_1\cdots A_{p-3}} = J(r)T_{A_1\cdots A_{p-3}}. (67)$$

Then

$$(\ell + p - 3)(\ell + n - p)J(r) = 0. (68)$$

Except for the special case with $\ell=0, p=3,$ it is easy to see that

$$J(r) = 0 (69)$$

holds. We can also show J(r) = 0 even for $\ell = 0, p = 3$ case by a distinct argument. In fact, we can use the remaining gauge freedom of $B_{tr} = B_{tr} - \partial_r C_t(r)$. Then, taking

$$C_t(r) = \int^r dr B_{tr}(r), \tag{70}$$

we can set

$$B_{tr}(r) = 0. (71)$$

Therefore, without loss of generality, we can conclude that

$$B_{trA_1\cdots A_{p-3}} = 0 (72)$$

holds.

Next we will ask if we can take the gauge condition of Eq. (60). To see this, we first look at

$$\mathcal{D}^{A}\tilde{B}_{rAA_{1}\cdots A_{p-3}} = \mathcal{D}^{A}B_{rAA_{1}\cdots A_{p-3}} + \partial_{r}(\mathcal{D}^{A}C_{AA_{1}\cdots A_{p-3}}) - [\mathcal{D}^{2} - (p-3)(n-p+1)]C_{rA_{1}\cdots A_{p-3}},$$
 (73)

where we imposed $\mathcal{D}^A C_{rAA_1 \cdots A_{p-3}} = 0$. Using $C_{\mu_1 \cdots \mu_{p-2}}$ satisfying

$$\partial_r(\mathcal{D}^A C_{AA_1 \cdots A_{p-3}}) = 0$$

$$[\mathcal{D}^2 - (p-3)(n-p+1)]C_{rA_1 \cdots A_{p-3}}$$
(74)

$$[\mathcal{D}^{-} - (p-3)(n-p+1)]C_{rA_{1}\cdots A_{p-3}}$$

$$= \mathcal{D}^{A}B_{rAA_{1}\cdots A_{p-3}}, \tag{75}$$

we can set

$$\mathcal{D}^A B_{rAA_1 \cdots A_{n-3}} = 0. \tag{76}$$

Finally we consider the following transformation

$$\mathcal{D}^{A}\tilde{B}_{AA_{1}\cdots A_{p-2}}$$

$$= \mathcal{D}^{A}B_{AA_{1}\cdots A_{p-2}}$$

$$+ [\mathcal{D}^{2} - (n-p)(p-2)]C_{A_{1}\cdots A_{p-2}}, \qquad (77)$$

where we imposed $\mathcal{D}^A C_{AA_1 \cdots A_{n-3}} = 0$. Then, taking $C_{A_1 \cdots A_{n-2}}$ satisfying

$$[\mathcal{D}^2 - (n-p)(p-2)]C_{A_1\cdots A_{p-2}} = -\mathcal{D}^A B_{AA_1\cdots A_{p-2}}, (78)$$

we can adopt the gauge of

$$\mathcal{D}^A B_{AA_1 \cdots A_{p-2}} = 0. \tag{79}$$

B. Solutions

Now, under the current gauge conditions, Eq. (56) becomes

$$\partial_r^2 B_{tA_1 \cdots A_{p-2}} + \frac{n - 2(p-1)}{r} \partial_r B_{tA_1 \cdots A_{p-2}} + \frac{\mathcal{D}^2 - (n-p)(p-2)}{r^2 f} B_{tA_1 \cdots A_{p-2}} = 0.$$
(80)

Here we expand $B_{tA_1\cdots A_{p-2}}$ in terms of the harmonics as

$$B_{tA_1\cdots A_{p-2}} = K(r)T_{A_1\cdots A_{p-2}}. (81)$$

Then the above equation becomes

$$\partial_r^2 K + \frac{n - 2(p - 1)}{r} \partial_r K - \frac{(\ell + p - 2)(\ell + n - p - 1)}{r^2 f} K = 0.$$
 (82)

The solution has been found in the analytic form of

$$K(r) = Br^{-(\ell+n-p-1)}F(\alpha,\beta,\gamma;x) + Cr^{\ell+p-2}F(\alpha',\beta',\gamma';x),$$
(83)

where

$$\alpha = \frac{\ell + p - 2}{n - 3} \tag{84}$$

$$\beta = \frac{\ell + n - p - 1}{n - 3} \tag{85}$$

$$\gamma = 2\frac{\ell + n - 3}{n - 3} = \alpha + \beta + 1 \tag{86}$$

and

$$\alpha' = -\frac{\ell + p - 2}{n - 3} \tag{87}$$

$$\beta' = -\frac{\ell + n - p - 1}{n - 3} \tag{88}$$

$$\gamma' = -2\frac{\ell}{n-3}. (89)$$

From the asymptotic flatness, the solution will be

$$B_{tA_1\cdots A_{p-2}} = r^{-(\ell+n-p-1)} F(\alpha, \beta, \gamma; x) T_{A_1\cdots A_{p-2}}.$$
 (90)

Now we can compute the field strength $H_{rtA_1...A_{n-2}}$

$$H_{rtA_{1}\cdots A_{p-2}} = -(\ell + n - p - 1)r^{-(\ell + n - p)}F(\alpha, \beta, \gamma; x)T_{A_{1}\cdots A_{p-2}} - (n - 3)\frac{\alpha\beta}{\gamma}\frac{x}{r}r^{-(\ell + n - p - 1)} \times F(\alpha + 1, \beta + 1, \gamma + 1; x)T_{A_{1}\cdots A_{p-2}}.$$
(91)
$$(92)$$

Since

$$F(\alpha+1,\beta+1,\gamma+1;1) = \frac{\Gamma(\gamma+1)\Gamma(\gamma-\alpha-\beta-1)}{\Gamma(\gamma-\alpha)\Gamma(\gamma-\beta)},$$
(93)

and $\Gamma(\gamma - \alpha - \beta - 1) = \Gamma(0) = -\infty$, the second term in the right-hand side of Eq. (91) diverges at the horizon. Thus, there are no regular solutions.

In the current gauge, Eq. (58) becomes

$$\partial_r^2 B_{A_1 \cdots A_{p-1}} + \left(\frac{n-2p}{r} + \frac{f'}{f}\right) \partial_r B_{A_1 \cdots A_{p-1}} + \frac{\mathcal{D}^2 - (n-p-1)(p-1)}{r^2 f} B_{A_1 \cdots A_{p-1}} = 0. \tag{94}$$

Let us expand $B_{A_1\cdots A_{p-1}}$ in terms of harmonics as

$$B_{A_1 \cdots A_{p-1}} = L(r) T_{A_1 \cdots A_{p-1}}. \tag{95}$$

Then Eq. (94) becomes

$$\partial_r^2 L + \left(\frac{n-2p}{r} + \frac{f'}{f}\right) \partial_r L$$
$$-\frac{(\ell+p-1)(\ell+n-p-2)}{r^2 f} L = 0.$$
 (96)

The solution is given by

$$L(r) = Br^{-(\ell+n-p-2)}F(\alpha,\beta,\gamma;x) + Cr^{\ell+p-1}F(\alpha',\beta',\gamma';x), \tag{97}$$

where

$$\alpha = \frac{\ell + n - p - 2}{n - 3} \tag{98}$$

$$\beta = \frac{\ell + n + p - 4}{n - 3} \tag{99}$$

$$\gamma = 2\frac{n+\ell-3}{n-3} = \alpha + \beta \tag{100}$$

and

$$\alpha' = -\frac{\ell + p - 1}{n - 3} \tag{101}$$

$$\beta' = -\frac{\ell - p + 1}{n - 3} \tag{102}$$

$$\gamma' = -2\frac{\ell}{n-3} = \alpha' + \beta'. \tag{103}$$

The asymptotic flatness implies C=0 and then we see

$$B_{A_1 \cdots A_{p-1}} = Br^{-(\ell+n-p-2)} F(\alpha, \beta, \gamma; x) T_{A_1 \cdots A_{p-1}} (104)$$

Since

$$F(\alpha, \beta, \gamma; 1) = \frac{\Gamma(\gamma)\Gamma(0)}{\Gamma(\gamma - \alpha)\Gamma(\gamma - \beta)},$$
 (105)

we see the singular behaviors of the field strength as

$$H_{rA_1\cdots A_{r-1}} = O(1/(r-r_0)) \tag{106}$$

and

$$H_{rA_1\cdots A_{p-1}} = O(1/(r-r_0)).$$
 (107)

As a conclusion we can show that black holes cannot have the hair of the p-form field strengths.

V. ASYMPTOTICALLY (ANTI)DESITTER SPACETIMES

So far we concentrated on asymptotically flat spacetimes and could have the analytic solution for the equation of static perturbation. On the other hand, this is not the case once one turns on the cosmological constant. Without solving the equations, however, we can ask if the solution exists. Note that the equations for the static perturbations does not changed except for the expression of f(r) in the metric of the background spacetimes.

A. deSitter cases

First we consider the cases with positive cosmological constant. In this case, the background spacetime is higher dimensional Schwarzschild-deSitter spacetime and f(r) in the metric becomes

$$f(r) = 1 - (r_0/r)^{n-3} - r^2/a^2.$$
 (108)

Under a certain cases with parameter r_0 and a, there are two horizons, black hole and cosmological horizons at r_h and r_c . Note that $r_c > r_h$.

From Eq. (82), we have the following relation

$$\int_{r_{h}}^{r_{c}} dr r^{n-2(p-1)} \left[(\partial_{r} K)^{2} + \frac{(\ell+p-2)(\ell+n-p-1)}{r^{2} f} K^{2} \right]
= \left[r^{n-2(p-1)} K \partial_{r} K \right]_{r_{h}}^{r_{c}}$$
(109)

Since the presence of the cosmological constant does not disturb the behavior the horizons, the same regularity conditions are imposed on the both of the horizons. Thus we can see that the boundary term in the right-hand side vanishes and then

$$K = 0. (110)$$

In the same way, from Eq. (96), we have

$$\int_{r_h}^{r_c} dr r^{n-2p} \left[f(\partial_r L)^2 + \frac{(\ell+p-1)(\ell+n-p-2)}{r^2} L^2 \right]
= \left[r^{n-2p} f L \partial_r L \right]_{r_h}^{r_c}.$$
(111)

Since the boundary term vanishes, we can see that

$$L = 0 \tag{112}$$

holds. Therefore, there are no regular static perturbation in the region of $r_h \leq r \leq r_c$.

B. anti-deSitter cases

Next let us consider asymptotically anti-deSitter cases. In this case, $f(r) = 1 - (r_0/r)^{n-3} + r^2/a^2$. Then, near the infinity, K follows the equation approximately

$$\partial_r^2 K + \frac{n - 2(p - 1)}{r} \partial_r K \simeq 0.$$
 (113)

Then the solution is approximately given by

$$K \simeq \frac{A}{r^{n-2p+1}}. (114)$$

In the current case, Eq. (82) gives us

$$\int_{r_h}^{\infty} dr r^{n-2(p-1)} \left[(\partial_r K)^2 + \frac{(\ell+p-2)(\ell+n-p-1)}{r^2 f} K^2 \right]
= \left[r^{n-2(p-1)} K \partial_r K \right]_{r_h}^{\infty}.$$
(115)

Since the boundary term near the infinity is roughly estimated as $\int dr 1/r^{n-2p+2}$, one has to impose (n+1)/2 > p in order to make it finite. Thus, if we impose (n+1)/2 > p, the boundary term vanishes and then we can conclude

$$K = 0. (116)$$

Similar result will be obtain for L, that is, L = 0.

As a consequence, we can see that there no static perturbation of p-form field strength in asymptotically antideSitter spacetimes too.

VI. SUMMARY AND DISCUSSIONS

In this paper we studied the static perturbation of p-form field strengths for the Schwarzschild-Tangherlini spacetime and then we could show that the black holes cannot have p-form hair except for the Maxwell cases($p = 2, \ell = 0$). This work is initiated by remaining issue in the no-hair theorem [12] of p-form fields in higher dimensional black hole spacetimes. That is, therein, there is a limitation of p as $p \geq (n+1)/2$ in the proof of the no-hair theorem. Therefore, it was natural to ask if the no-hair properties with p < (n+1)/2 is. Our current result supports no-hair properties of p-form field strength with p > 3 regardless of such limitation.

Our analysis is based on the perturbation and then the topology of black holes is limited to be sphere. So if one thinks of another topology like black ring, there are still possibility to have a solution. According to the appendix, however, the solution may have the both electric and magnetic hairs if it exists. They will be addressed in near future study.

Acknowledgments

TSis partially supported by Grant-Aid for Scientific Research from Ministry of tion. Science, Sports and Culture of Japan (Nos. 21244033, 21111006, 20540258 and 19GS0219). SO thanks Professor Takashi Nakamura for his continuous encouragement. KT is supported by JSPS Grant-Aid for Scientific Research (No. 21-2105). SO and KT are supported by the Grant-in-Aid for the Global COE Program "The Next Generation of Physics, Spun from Universality and Emergence" from the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology (MEXT) of Japan.

Appendix A: no-dipole-hair theorem revisit

In this appendix, we revisit the no-hair theorem of pform fields strengths in static asymptotically flat spacetimes [12]. In the theorem, one supposes the presence of the electric part only. Then, if $p \geq (n+1)/2$, we can show that the p-form hair does not exist. From this, if one supposes the presence of the magnetic part of pform field strengths only, we expect that similar theorem holds. In fact, its dual version is the electric part of (n-p)-form field strengths. Therefore, we would guess that, if $(n+1)/2 \geq (n-p)$, the magnetic parts of pform field strengths does not exist. The above condition is rearranged as $p \ge (n-1)/2$. The above consideration indicates the breakdown of the proof of the no-hair of p-form field strengths if both parts exist. On the other hand, the argument in the main text indicates the nohair of p-forms except for p=2. Or it may suggests the presence of the solutions which cannot be explained by the perturbation on the Schwarzschild spacetime.

Let us examine if the no-hair theorem holds in the details. Here we includes the dilation to the system described by the Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L} = R - \frac{1}{2} (\nabla \phi)^2 - \frac{1}{p!} H_{(p)}^2, \tag{A1}$$

where ϕ is the dilation field. The Einstein equation is

$$R_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2} \nabla_{\mu} \phi \nabla_{\nu} \phi + \frac{1}{p!} e^{-\alpha \phi} \left(p H_{\mu}^{\alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_{p-1}} H_{\nu \alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_{p-1}} - \frac{p-1}{n-2} g_{\mu\nu} H_{(p)}^2 \right). \tag{A2}$$

Since we will not the equations for the p-form fields and dilation, we do not write down these equations. Different from Ref. [12], we will not assume that the p-form fields have the electric components only. The metric of static spacetimes is written as Eq. (5). From the Einstein equation, then, we can see

$$R_{00} = VD^{2}V$$

$$= \frac{n-p-1}{(n-2)(p-1)!}e^{-\alpha\phi}H_{0}^{i_{1}\cdots i_{p-1}}H_{0i_{1}\cdots i_{p-1}}$$

$$+ \frac{p-1}{(n-2)p!}V^{2}e^{-\alpha\phi}H_{i_{1}\cdots i_{p}}H^{i_{1}\cdots i_{p}}$$
(A3)

and

$$R_{ij} = {}^{(n-1)}R_{ij} - \frac{1}{V}D_iD_jV$$

$$= \frac{1}{2}D_i\phi D_j\phi$$

$$+ \frac{1}{(p-2)!}e^{-\alpha\phi} \Big(H_i^{0k_1\cdots k_{p-2}} H_{j0k_1\cdots k_{p-2}}$$

$$- \frac{1}{n-2}g_{ij}H_{0k_1\cdots k_{p-1}}H^{0k_1\cdots k_{p-1}} \Big)$$

$$+ \frac{1}{(p-1)!}e^{-\alpha\phi} \Big(H_i^{k_1\cdots k_{p-1}} H_{jk_1\cdots k_{p-1}}$$

$$- \frac{p-1}{p(n-2)}g_{jk}H_{k_1\cdots k_{p-1}} \Big)$$
(A4)

hold. Moreover, we can compute the Ricci scalar of g_{ij} as

$${}^{(n-1)}R = \frac{1}{2}(D\phi)^2 + \frac{1}{(p-1)!} \frac{e^{-\alpha\phi}}{V^2} H_0^{i_1 \cdots i_{p-1}} H_{0i_1 \cdots i_{p-1}} + \frac{1}{n!} e^{-\alpha\phi} H_{i_1 \cdots i_p} H^{i_1 \cdots i_p}.$$
(A5)

The outline of the proof will be as follows if it works. We first consider the conformal transformation of t =constant hypersurfaces so that the Ricci scalar is non-negative and the ADM mass vanishes. Then we will apply the positive mass theorem [21, 22] and then show that the conformally transformed spacetime is flat and the p-form hair does not exist. We know that the vacuum black hole spacetimes with conformally flat static slices must be spherical symmetry. Thus, the resulted spacetimes is the Schwarzschild spacetime.

Let us look at the details. For the proof of no-hair, we will consider the two conformal transformations given by

$$\tilde{g}_{ij}^{\pm} = \Omega_{\pm}^2 g_{ij},\tag{A6}$$

where

$$\Omega_{\pm} = \left(\frac{1 \pm V}{2}\right)^{\frac{2}{n-3}} =: \omega_{\pm}^{\frac{2}{n-3}}.$$
(A7)

Then

$$\Omega_{\pm}^{2(n-1)}\tilde{R} = \frac{(n-1)}{n-3}R \mp \frac{2(n-2)}{n-3}\omega_{\pm}^{-1}D^{2}V
= \frac{1}{2}(D\phi)^{2} + \frac{1}{(p-1)!}\frac{e^{-\alpha\phi}}{V^{2}}\frac{\lambda_{\pm}}{\omega_{\pm}}H_{0}^{i_{1}\cdots i_{p-1}}H^{0i_{1}\cdots i_{p-1}}
+ \frac{1}{p!}e^{-\alpha\phi}\frac{\mu_{\pm}}{\omega_{\pm}}H_{i_{1}\cdots i_{p}}H^{i_{1}\cdots i_{p}},$$
(A8)

where

$$\lambda_{\pm} = \frac{1 \mp \frac{3n - 4p - 1}{n - 3}}{2} \tag{A9}$$

and

$$\mu_{\pm} = \frac{1 \pm \frac{n - 4p + 1}{n - 3}}{2}.\tag{A10}$$

If ${}^{(n-1)}\tilde{R}_{\pm} \geq 0$, we can proceed the proof. However, we cannot do that. The sufficient condition for ${}^{(n-1)}\tilde{R}_{\pm} \geq 0$ are $\lambda_{\pm} \geq 0$ and $\mu_{\pm} \geq 0$. Each conditions become

$$p \ge \frac{n+1}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad p \le \frac{n-1}{2},\tag{A11}$$

respectively. The both conditions together do not hold manifestly. Therefore, we can say nothing about the no-hair for the cases having both of electric and magnetic *p*-form fields. This results may suggest the presence of the *p*-form hairy static black object solutions.

- [1] R. Emparan and H. S. Reall, Living Rev. Rel. 11, 6 (2008) [arXiv:0801.3471 [hep-th]].
- [2] R. C. Myers and M. J. Perry, Annals Phys. 172, 304 (1986).
- [3] R. Emparan and H. S. Reall, Phys. Rev. Lett. 88, 101101 (2002) [arXiv:hep-th/0110260].
- [4] R. Emparan, T. Harmark, V. Niarchos and N. A. Obers, Phys. Rev. Lett. 102 191301 (2009) [arXiv:0902.0427 [hep-th]]; J. High Energy Phys. 03 (2010) 063 [arXiv:0910.1601 [hep-th]]; M. M. Caldarelli, R. Emparan and B. Van Pol, arXiv:1012.4517 [hep-th].
- [5] G. W. Gibbons, D. Ida and T. Shiromizu, Prog. Theor. Phys. Suppl. 148, 284 (2002) [arXiv:gr-qc/0203004].
- [6] G. W. Gibbons, D. Ida and T. Shiromizu, Phys. Rev. Lett. 89, 041101 (2002) [arXiv:hep-th/0206049]; Phys. Rev. D 66, 044010 (2002) [arXiv:hep-th/0206136].
- [7] M. Rogatko, Phys. Rev. D **67**, 084025 (2003) [arXiv:hep-th/0302091],
- [8] G.L. Bunting and A.K.M. Masood-ul-Alam, Gen. Rel.

- Grav. 19, 147 (1987).
- [9] F. R. Tangherlini, Nuovo Cim. 27, 636 (1963).
- [10] J. D. Bekenstein, Phys. Rev. D 5, 1239 (1972); Phys. Rev. D 5, 2403 (1972).
- [11] T. Shiromizu, S. Yamada and H. Yoshino, J. Math. Phys. 47, 112502 (2006) [arXiv:gr-qc/0605029].
- [12] R. Emparan, S. Ohashi and T. Shiromizu, Phys. Rev. D 82, 084032 (2010) [arXiv:1007.3847 [hep-th]].
- [13] R. Emparan, J. High Energy Phys. 03 (2004) 064 [arXiv:hep-th/0402149].
- [14] R. Gueven, Class. Quant. Grav. 6, 1961 (1989).
- [15] H. Kodama, Prog. Theor. Phys. **112**, 249 (2004) [arXiv:hep-th/0403239].
- [16] G. W. Gibbons and M. J. Perry, Nucl. Phys. B 146, 90 (1978).
- [17] M. A. Rubin and C. R. Ordonez, J. Math. Phys. 25, 2888(1984); 26, 65 (1985).
- [18] H. Hata and Y. Tanii, Nucl. Phys. B 624, 283 (2002) [arXiv:hep-th/0110222].

- [19] V. Moncrief, Phys. Rev. D 9, 2707 (1974); F. J. Zerilli, Phys. Rev. D 9, 860 (1974).
- [20] H. Kodama and A. Ishibashi, Prog. Theor. Phys. 111, 29 (2004) [arXiv:hep-th/0308128].
- [21] E. Witten, Commun. Math. Phys. 80, 381 (1981),
- [22] R. Schoen and S. T. Yau, Commun. Math. Phys. 65,
 45 (1979); R. Schoen, in Topics in calculus of variations (Montecatini Terme, 1987), Lecture Notes in Mathemat-
- ics Vol. 1365 (Springer, New York,1989).
- [23] After submission to ArXiv, we were informed that Ref. [14] discussed the same issue. However, our study completes the analysis in the explicit way and for different cases with cosmological constant, and we also have a new implication by the consideration in Appendix.